

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mol

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,063	09/05/2003	David S. Colvin	COL408PUS	2062
36547 BIR LAW, PL	7590 08/03/2007		EXAMINER	
13092 GLASGOW CT.			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
PLYMOUTH, MI 48170-5241			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.55	10/605,063	COLVIN, DAVID S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher A. Revak	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Max 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-95 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objecdrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached PTO form 1449.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,063 Page 2

Ärt Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-95 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 2. The applicant argues that Ananda fails to disclose of an "authorized representative entity installed on a device to access the software". The examiner notes that Ananda discloses of communications with a central rental facility and it is through use of installed software on the user's computer which allows for communications with the central rental facility, see column 3, lines 11-37.
- 3. The applicant argues that Ananda fails to disclose of a "portable device". The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion, user's computer are notoriously well known to be portable in nature. Furthermore, the user computer of Ananda is equivalent in nature to the portable device claimed by the applicant in that they both have the components such as memory and a processor.
- 4. As per the remaining dependent claims, the Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

Terminal Disclaimer

5. The terminal disclaimer filed disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 40,41,43,44, and 81-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ananda, U.S. Patent 5,495,411.

As per claim 40, it is taught by Ananda of a method for securing software having at least one associated authentication code to reduce unauthorized use, the method comprising intercepting a request to access the software; determining whether an authorized representative entity is available to authenticate a user device for which software access is requested; if an operational authorized representative entity is available, using the authorized representative entity to determine if the user device for which software access is requested is authorized based on the at least one authentication code and providing access to the software if the user device is authorized; and if an operational authorized representative entity is not available, installing an authorized representative entity from the software and determining whether

the user device is authorized using the installed authorized representative entity (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28; col. 4, lines 18-28; col. 6, lines 57-63; and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 41, Ananda discloses wherein the software comprises digital content selected from the group consisting of data representing music, data representing video, instructions executable by a computer, code for an application program, code for an operating system component, code for a game, data representing a movie, data representing graphics, data representing watermarked works, data representing a magazine, and data representing a book (col. 1, lines 17-19).

As per claim 43, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of intercepting comprises intercepting a request to utilize the software (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28).

As per claim 44, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of determining comprises determining whether an authorized representative entity is installed on or in a device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 81, Ananda discloses of a method for reducing unauthorized use of software including digital content, the method comprising obtaining registration information associated with at least one portable user device; generating at least one authentication code based on the registration information associated with the at least one portable device; associating the authentication code with the software; transferring the software to a user computer; controlling access to the software using at least one authorized representative entity to inhibit access to the software by unauthorized portable user devices (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28; col. 4, lines 18-28; col. 6, lines 57-63; and col. 10, lines 4-15).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 82, Ananda teaches wherein the software comprises digital content selected from the group consisting of data representing music, data representing video, instructions executable by a computer, code for an application program, code for an operating system component, code for a game, data representing a movie, data representing graphics, data representing watermarked works, data representing a magazine, and data representing a book (col. 1, lines 17-19).

As per claim 83, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of obtaining registration information comprises automatically obtaining hardware information associated with the portable user device (col. 3, lines 11-28).

As per claim 84, it is taught by Ananda wherein the registration information corresponds to a group of portable devices (col. 3, lines 11-15).

As per claim 85, Ananda discloses wherein the authentication code corresponds to a group of portable devices (col. 3, lines 11-15).

As per claim 86, Ananda teaches wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a secondary device manufacturer (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 87, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a specific type of secondary device (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 88, it is taught by Ananda wherein the steps of obtaining registration information, generating an authentication code, and associating the authentication code are performed by a remote authorized representative entity (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28).

As per claim 89, Ananda discloses wherein the steps of obtaining registration information, generating an authentication code, and associating the authentication code are performed by the user computer (col. 3, lines 9-26).

As per claim 90, Ananda teaches wherein the step of controlling access is performed by the portable user device (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 91, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access comprises determining if a portable user device includes an authorized representative entity; and transferring the software to the portable user device only if the portable user device includes an authorized representative entity (col. 3, lines 9-26 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 92, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access further comprises determining if the portable user device is authorized to access the software based on the at least one authentication code using the authorized representative entity on the portable device; and controlling access to the software by the portable device using the authorized representative entity on the portable device (col. 3, lines 9-26 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 93, Ananda discloses wherein the step of controlling access comprises modifying the software if the portable device is not authorized to access the software (col. 10, lines 8-15).

As per claim 94, Ananda teaches wherein the step of modifying the software comprises reducing quality of content contained in the software (col. 10, lines 8-15).

Page 7

As per claim 95, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of modifying the software comprises rendering the software unusable on any portable device (col. 10, lines 8-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-39,42, and 45-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ananda, U.S. Patent 5,495,411 in view of Diersch et al, U.S. Patent 6,101,606.

As per claims 1,10,11,14,18, and 20-22, Ananda discloses of a method for securing software to reduce unauthorized use, the method comprising obtaining registration information corresponding to an authorized device; generating an authentication code based on the registration information; associating the authentication code with the software; transferring the software to a primary user device; determining whether the device is authorized based on the authentication code associated with the software and registration information associated with the device; and controlling access to the software by the device based on whether the device is authorized (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28; col. 4, lines 18-28; col. 6, lines 57-63; and col. 10, lines 4-15). The teachings of Ananda fail to disclose of authorizing a secondary device to use software.

It is disclosed by Diersch et al of authorizing software onto multiple computers (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to license software to multiple computers for usage. The teachings of Diersch et al recite of motivational benefits by disclosing that software protection should not be dependent on any specific computer in a network in that problems exist in the prior art that if the software is tied to a specific computer, if it becomes defective, it is impossible to transfer the license (col. 2, lines 22-36 & 44-46). It is obvious that the teachings of Ananda would have found the teachings of Diersch et al beneficial in that licensed software would not be tied to one particular computer, but to additional devices in an attempt to avoid transferring of the license as taught by Diersch et al.

As per claim 2, Ananda teaches wherein the software comprises digital content selected from the group consisting of data representing music, data representing video, instructions executable by a computer, code for an application program, code for an operating system component, code for a game, data representing a movie, data representing graphics, data representing watermarked works, data representing a magazine, and data representing a book (col. 1, lines 17-19).

As per claim 3, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of transferring the software is performed before the steps of obtaining registration information, generating an authentication code, and associating the authentication code (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 4, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of transferring comprises transferring the software from a computer readable storage medium (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 5, Ananda discloses wherein the step of transferring comprises transferring the software electronically (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 6, Ananda teaches wherein the step of transferring comprises transferring the software from a network (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 7, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the steps of obtaining registration information, generating an authentication code, and associating the authentication code are performed by an authorized representative entity (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 8, it is taught by Ananda wherein the authorized representative entity is installed on or in the primary user device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 9, Ananda discloses wherein the authorized representative entity is installed on or in the current secondary user device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 12, it is taught by Ananda of obtaining registration information corresponding to the primary user device; generating an authentication code based on the registration information; associating the authentication code with the software; and controlling access to the software by a current primary user device based on whether the current primary user device is authorized (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 13, Ananda discloses of further comprising installing an authorized representative entity on or in at least one of the primary user devices (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 15, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the authorized representative entity is installed on or in the primary user device and wherein controlling access comprises preventing the software from being transferred to the current secondary device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 16, it is taught by Ananda wherein the authorized representative entity is installed on or in the primary user device and wherein controlling access comprises modifying the software to generate reduced quality software; and transferring the reduced quality software to the current secondary device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 17, Ananda discloses wherein the primary user device comprises a computer (col. 10, lines 4-15). The teachings of Diersch et al are relied upon for disclosing of a secondary device comprises a digital audio player (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10).

As per claim 19, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access to the software is performed by the primary user device (col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 23, it is disclosed by Diersch et al wherein the step of controlling access determines that the current secondary device includes an authorized representative entity installed on or in the device, the method further comprising transferring the software to the current secondary device; and controlling access to the software on the current secondary device using the authorized representative entity

Art Unit: 2131

installed on or in the current secondary device (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claims 24 and 25, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of obtaining automatically obtaining registration information comprises prompting the user to identify a device (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 26, Diersch teaches wherein the step of determining is performed by an authorized representative entity installed on the primary user device in communication with the device (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 27, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the device is in wireless communication with the primary user device (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 28, it is taught by Ananda wherein the device is a personal digital assistant (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 29, Ananda discloses wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises preventing transfer of at least a portion of the software to the device

Art Unit: 2131

(col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 30, Ananda teaches wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises preventing the device from utilizing the software (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 31, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access comprises providing a second file type for use with the device (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 32, it is taught by Ananda wherein the steps of obtaining, generating, and associating are performed by the primary user device and the steps of determining and controlling are performed by the device (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 33, Ananda discloses of further comprising encrypting the authentication code (col. 9, lines 25-34 and col. 10, line 63 through col. 11, line 8).

As per claim 34, Ananda teaches of further comprising associating an identifier with the software to trigger authentication by an authorized representative entity (col. 3, lines 11-49).

As per claim 35, it is disclosed by Ananda of further comprising disabling means for generating the authentication code (col. 3, lines 16-49).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 36, it is taught by Ananda wherein the software is included in a computer readable storage medium (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 37, Ananda discloses wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a device manufacturer (col. 9, lines 5-6 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 38, Ananda teaches wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a specific type of device (col. 9, lines 5-6 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 39, it is disclosed by Ananda of further comprising securing the authentication code to hinder user tampering (col. 9, lines 25-34 and col. 10, line 63 through col. 11, line 8). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 42, Ananda teaches wherein the step of intercepting comprises intercepting a request to transfer the software (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). Please refer to motivation as applied to claim 1 for applying the teachings of Diersch et al with Ananda.

As per claim 45, Ananda discloses of transferring the software to a device if the device is determined to be authorized based on the at least one authentication code (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices

Art Unit: 2131

(col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). Please refer to motivation as applied to claim 1 for applying the teachings of Diersch et al with Ananda.

As per claim 46, Ananda teaches wherein a primary device determines whether the device is authorized (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). Please refer to motivation as applied to claim 1 for applying the teachings of Diersch et al with Ananda.

As per claim 47, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein a remote authorized representative entity determines whether the device is authorized (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). Please refer to motivation as applied to claim 1 for applying the teachings of Diersch et al with Ananda.

As per claim 48, it is taught by Ananda of a method for securing software to reduce unauthorized use, the method comprising associating an identifier with the software to request authentication; distributing the software to a user; detecting the identifier associated with the software to activate authentication using an authorized representative installed on a user device; obtaining registration information associated with the device; generating an authentication code based on the registration information; linking the authentication code to the software; and controlling access to the software by the device based on the authentication code (col. 3, lines 11-15 & 21-28; col. 4, lines 18-28; col. 6, lines 57-63; and col. 10, lines 4-15). The teachings of Ananda fail to disclose of authorizing a secondary device to use software. It is

Application/Control Numbe

Art Unit: 2131

disclosed by Diersch et al of authorizing software onto multiple computers (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to license software to multiple computers for usage. The teachings of Diersch et al recite of motivational benefits by disclosing that software protection should not be dependent on any specific computer in a network in that problems exist in the prior art that if the software is tied to a specific computer, if it becomes defective, it is impossible to transfer the license (col. 2, lines 22-36 & 44-46). It is obvious that the teachings of Ananda would have found the teachings of Diersch et al beneficial in that licensed software would not be tied to one particular computer, but to additional devices in an attempt to avoid transferring of the license as taught by Diersch et al.

As per claim 49, Ananda discloses wherein the step of obtaining registration information comprises prompting a user to identify at least one secondary device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 50, Ananda teaches wherein the software comprises digital content selected from the group consisting of data representing music, data representing video, instructions executable by a computer, code for an application program, code for an operating system component, code for a game, data representing a movie, data representing graphics, data representing watermarked works, data representing a magazine, and data representing a book (col. 1, lines 17-19).

As per claim 51, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of obtaining registration information comprises automatically obtaining hardware information

Art Unit: 2131

associated with the device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 52, it is taught by Ananda wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a device manufacturer (col. 9, lines 5-6 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 53, Ananda discloses wherein the authentication code at least partially corresponds to a specific type of device (col. 9, lines 5-6 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 54, Ananda teaches wherein the step of linking comprises embedding the authentication code within the software (col. 3, lines 11-49).

As per claim 55, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of linking comprises modifying the software based on the authentication code for use by an authorized device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 56, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises preventing the software from being transferred to an unauthorized device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied

upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 57, Ananda discloses wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises preventing unauthorized devices from utilizing the software (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 58, Ananda teaches wherein the steps of obtaining registration information, generating an authentication code, and linking the authentication code are preformed prior to the step of distributing the software to a user (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15).

As per claim 59, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of distributing the software comprises distributing the software on a computer readable storage medium (col. 3, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 60, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of distributing the software comprises electronically distributing the software (col. 3, lines 19-32).

As per claim 61, Ananda discloses of installing an authorized representative entity on at least one of the primary device (col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 62, Ananda teaches wherein the authorized representative entity is installed from a computer readable storage medium (col. 10, lines 4-15 and col. 11, lines 61-65).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 63, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the authorized representative entity is installed from a network (col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 64, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises preventing the software from being transferred to a device unless the device has an authorized representative entity installed (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 65, Ananda discloses wherein the step of obtaining registration information comprises automatically obtaining registration information associated with the primary device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 66, Ananda teaches wherein the step of controlling access comprises restricting access to the software by the device unless the device can be automatically identified by the authorized representative installed on the primary user device (col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 67, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access comprises providing limited access by the device if the device can not be automatically identified by the authorized representative entity installed on the primary user device

, Art Unit: 2131

(col. 3, lines 11-49 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 68, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access comprises providing a second file type for use with the device (col. 6, lines 57-65 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 69, Ananda discloses wherein the primary user device comprises a computer (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices comprising a digital audio player (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 70, Ananda teaches wherein the device comprises a cellular telephone (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 71, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the device comprises a portable user device (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 10, lines 4-15). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 72, it is taught by Ananda wherein the authorized representative entity installed on the primary device comprises a hardware device (col. 10, lines 4-15 and col. 11, lines 61-65).

As per claim 73, Ananda discloses wherein the authorized representative entity installed on the primary device comprises software (col. 10, lines 4-15 and col. 11, lines 61-65).

As per claim 74, Ananda teaches wherein the authorized representative entity installed on the primary device comprises hardware and software (col. 10, lines 4-15 and col. 11, lines 61-65).

As per claim 75, it is disclosed by Ananda of contacting a remote authorized representative entity if the authorized representative entity is unable to authenticate the device based on the authentication code (col. 1, lines 17-19; col. 10, lines 4-15; and col. 11, lines 61-65). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 76, it is taught by Ananda wherein the step of controlling access to the software is performed by the device (col. 1, lines 17-19; col. 10, lines 4-15; and col. 11, lines 61-65). Diersch et al is relied upon for disclosing of secondary devices (col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 10 and col. 6, lines 29-35).

As per claim 77, Ananda discloses wherein the step of controlling access to the software is performed by a remote authorized representative entity (col. 1, lines 17-19 and col. 11, lines 61-65).

As per claim 78, Ananda teaches wherein the step of controlling access to the software comprises modifying the software so the software is unusable (col. 10, lines 8-15).

As per claim 79, it is disclosed by Ananda wherein the software is included in a computer readable storage medium (col. 6, lines 57-63 and col. 9, lines 35-36).

As per claim 80, it is taught by Ananda of encrypting the authentication code (col. 9, lines 25-34 and col. 10, line 63 through col. 11, line 8).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ูAit Unit: 2131

CR

August 1, 2007

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER